

PROFESSOR: It's not unsuspected, because in some sense, energy eigenvalues, what you need, the first order energy's then split the state. The hope is that the second order energy split the states, so that's why you have to go to second order. So going to second order is something we had to do. There was no hope to do this before we go to second order.

So let's go to second order, and order λ^2 . And we put n_0 state in.

The left hand side, happily, is 0. So we have n_0 from the right hand side, E_1 minus ΔH ψ_1 . But again, ψ_1 has two pieces, a piece in the space we had that we just calculated plus a piece in the space of v_n and 1 minus ΔH ψ_1 v_n plus the energy, which would be E_2 in here. And we hit with n_0 , so we pick an a_0 .

OK, that doesn't look that terrible. I don't know if you agree, but it really doesn't. Especially because a few things are gone. This term is zero. Why? Because state in the degenerate subspace orthogonal or to v hat.

Here I want to remind you of what we did. We did a long computation to explain that even though ΔH is only diagonal in the degenerate subspace, when you have a state here in the degenerate subspace, you can let ΔH think of it as acting as an eigenvalue. But that's a great thing, because if this acts as an eigenvalue, this is the first order of correction. But all the first order of corrections are the same, so this here, ΔH and this, is going to give the same as E_1 on this state, and therefore this whole state, happily, is all 0.

So it's again, this ΔH -- we did it with a resolution of the identity. Hope you remember that argument. If you don't, look at it back later. But with a resolution of the identity, we argued that ΔH , when acting in a state of v_n on the right, you can assume that this is an eigenstate of it.

So this whole term is zero. So now we are in pretty good shape, in fact. The equation is not that bad. The equation has become minus n_0 , ΔH , ψ_1 , on v hat plus E_2 a_0 equals 0.

And this ψ_1 , we've already calculated it there. So this is great. You see, you should realize that at this moment we've solve the problem, because we're going to get from here something complicated acting on a 0. Because ψ_1 has this a_1 's, but the a_1 's were given in terms of a_0 . So something on a 0, something on a 0, it's going to be an eigenvalue equation for a_0 , an

eigenvector equation for a_0 .

So let me just finish it.

So I have to do a little bit of algebra with this left hand side. I can put here this a_1 times the p_0 states there. So on the left it will be minus the sum over p , n_0 , Δh , p_0 times a_1 plus $\epsilon_2 a_0$, 0. OK, now I just have to copy that thing there. And I better copy it, because we really need to see the final result. It's not that messy.

So here it is. I'll copy this thing. I also can write this as Δh n/p . You recognize that thing. So this will be the sum over p of Δh n/p . The sine, I will take care of it, times that thing over there, 1 over ϵ_0 minus ϵ_0 . That's another minus sign that cancels this sign here, and I have here the sum over k equals 1 to n , Δh , p_{mk} , a_k plus $\epsilon_2 a_0$ equals 0 .

OK, so what do we do now? Just rewrite it one more time and it will all be clear. So I'll write the k outside, k big parentheses, p . For the first term I'm going to pull the k sum out and we'll sum over p first. So what is it? Δh n/p Δh p_{mk} . Those were the two things here.

And then we have the denominator. I'll change a sign of the first term. I will change its sign so that the second term looks more like an eigenvalue. So I changed sign here by changing the order of things in the denominator, and then I put minus ϵ_2 . I don't have a sum over k here, but we can produce one by writing Δh k a_k equals 0 .

Look, we got our answer. If I call-- invent a matrix m_{lk} , which is precisely Δh n/p Δh p_{mk} over ϵ_0 minus ϵ_0 is sum over p . This is a-- you see, you sum over p , n is irrelevant, you have a matrix here in l m k . That's why we call it m_{lk} . And therefore this whole equation is the sum from k equals 1 to n of m_{lk}^2 minus ϵ_2 a_k -- m_{lk}^2 minus ϵ_2 Δh k a_k equals 0 . Or, if you wish, it's just a matrix equation of the form m^2 minus ϵ_2 times the identity on a vector equals 0 .

And this is an eigenvalue equation. So finally, here is the answer. Let's say you compute this matrix of order λ^2 with this perturbation and that is the matrix that if you diagonalize, you find the second order energy corrections and you find the eigenvectors. And if you found the eigenvectors, you found the good basis.

So the problem has been solved at this stage. You now know that if you fail to diagonalize, to break the perturbation of the first order, you will have to diagonalize a second order matrix.

And once you diagonalize it, you now have the good basis, and it will happen that if all the levels get split at second order, we can calculate fairly easily the rest of the pieces of the [? states. ?]

So we'll leave it. There you'll complete some details, more elaborations of that in the exercises, and we'll go to hydrogen atom next.