

PROFESSOR: Suppose you define now, one state called ϕ_1 as a dagger acting on ϕ_0 . You could not define any interesting state with a acting on ϕ_0 because a kills ϕ_0 , so you try ϕ_0 like this. Now you could ask, OK, what energy does it have? Is it an energy eigenstate? Well it is an energy eigenstate if it's a number eigenstate. And we can see if it's a number eigenstate by acting with the number operator. So $N \phi_1$ is equal to $N a \phi_0$. OK.

Here comes trick. Maybe it's too much to even call it a trick, number one. This thing you look at it and you say, I want to sort of simplify this, learn something about it. If this is supposed to be an eigenstate of N , I have to make it happen somehow.

Now n kills ϕ_0 . So if I would have a term a times N near ϕ_0 , it would be 0. So I claim, and this is a step that I want you to be able to do also quickly, that I can replace this by the commutator of these two operators. The product is replaced by the commutators. Why? Aren't products simpler than commutators? No. We have formulas for commutators. And products are, in general, more complicated.

And why is this correct? And you say, well, it is correct because this has two terms. The term I want minus $a N$. But the term $a N$ is 0 because N kills ϕ_0 . So I can do that because this is $N a$, which is what I had, minus $a N$ on ϕ_0 . And this term is 0. So you would have put a 2 here or a 3 here, or any number even. But the right one to put is the commutators. So that's this.

And now this commutator is already known. That's why we computed it. It's just a dagger. So this is a dagger ϕ_0 , and that's what we call ϕ_1 . So N on ϕ_1 is ϕ_1 . N has eigenvalue 1 on ϕ_1 . So N is equal to 1. That's the eigenvalue. It is an eigenstate. It is an energy eigenstate. In fact how much energy, E , is $\hbar \omega$ times N , which is 1, plus $\frac{1}{2}$, which is $\frac{3}{2} \hbar \omega$?

And look what this is. This is the reason this is called a creation operator. Because by acting on the ground state, what people sometimes call the vacuum, the lowest energy state, the vacuum is called the lowest energy state, by acting on the vacuum you get a state. I mean, you've created a state, therefore.

How is this concretely done? Remember you had ϕ_0 of x , what it is, and a dagger over there is x minus $i p$ over $m \omega$. So this is x minus-- or minus \hbar over $m \omega$ $d dx$. So you

can act on it. It may be a little messy. But that's it. It's a very closed form expression.

Now, ϕ_0 was defined, the ground state such that it's a normalized state. This means the integral of ϕ_0 multiplied with ϕ_0 over x is 1. That's how we had the ground state.

You could ask, if I've defined ϕ_1 this way, is simply normalized? So I'll try it. And now you could say, oh, this is going to be a nightmare. Normalizing ϕ_0 is difficult. Now I have to act with a dagger, which means act with x , take derivatives. It's going to grow twice as big. Then I'm going to have to square it and integrate it. It looks very bad.

The good thing is those with these a 's and a daggers, you have to compute anything, pretty much. See how we do it. I want to know how much is ϕ_1 with ϕ_1 . Is it 1? And it's normalized or not? Then I say, look, ϕ_1 is a dagger ϕ_0 , a dagger ϕ_0 . So far so good. But I just know things about ϕ_0 . So let's clear up one ϕ_0 . At least I can move the a dagger as an a . So this is $\phi_0 a a \phi_0$.

Can I finish the computation in this line? Yes, I think we can. $\phi_0 a$ with a dagger, same story as before. a would kill ϕ_0 . So you can replace that by a commutator. Commutator of a with a dagger ϕ_0 .

But the commutator of a with a dagger is 1, so this is $\phi_0 \phi_0$ and it's equal to 1. Yes, it is properly normalized. So that's the nice thing about these a 's and a daggers. Just start moving them around. You have to get practice. Where should you move it? Where should you put it? When you replay something by a commutator, when you don't. It's a matter of practice. There's no other way. You have to do a lot of these commutators to get a feeling of how they work and what you're supposed to do.

Let's do another state. Let's try to do ϕ_2 . I'll put a prime because I'm not sure this is going to work out exactly right. And this time, I'll put an a dagger a dagger on the vacuum. Two a daggers, two creation operators on the vacuum.

And now I want to see if this is an energy eigenstate. Well, this is a dagger squared on the vacuum. So let's ask, is N hat-- is ϕ_2 prime an eigenstate of N hat? Well I would have N hat on a dagger squared on ϕ_0 .

Again, by now you know, I should replace this by a commutator because N hat kills the ϕ_0 , so N hat with a dagger squared ϕ_0 . And that commutator has been done. It's two times a

hat dagger squared, two times a dagger squared on ϕ_0 , which is $2\phi_2$. That's what we call the state ϕ_2 . I'm sorry.

So again, it is an energy eigenstate. Is it normalized? Well, let's try it. ϕ_2 is equal to a dagger a dagger. Let me not put the hats. I'm getting tired of them. a dagger a dagger ϕ_0 .

Now I move all of them. This a dagger becomes an a, the next a dagger becomes an a here. So this is ϕ_0 a a a dagger a dagger ϕ_0 . Wow, this looks a little more complicated. Because we don't want to calculate that thing, really. We definitely don't want to start writing x and p's.

But, you know, you decide. Take it one at a time. This a is here and wants to act on this thing. And then this other a will, but let's just concentrate on the first a that wants to act.

a would kill ϕ_0 , so we can replace this whole thing by a commutator. So this is ϕ_0 . The first a is still there, but the second, we'll replace it by the commutator, this commutator.

I've replaced this product, the product of a times this thing, by the commutator of those two operators. And then I say, oh look, you've done that. a with a dagger to the k is $k a$ dagger k minus 1. So I'll write it here. This will be a factor of $2\phi_0$ a. And this is supposed to be now a dagger to one power less, so it's just a dagger ϕ_0 .

So this is supposed to be $2a$ dagger. So that's what I did. And again, this a wants to act on ϕ_0 and it's just blocked by a dagger, but you can replace it by a commutator. a with a dagger ϕ_0 . And this is therefore a 1, so this whole result is a 2.

So this ϕ_2 , yes, it is the next excited state. Two creation operators on the ground state. Energy and eigenvalues too. You had N equal zero eigenvalue for the ground state 1 for ϕ_1 , 2 for ϕ_2 . But it's not properly normalized. Well, if the normalization gives you 2, then you should define ϕ_2 as 1 over the square root of 2 a dagger a dagger on ϕ_0 . And that's proper.

So it's time to go general. The n-th excited state, we claim is given by an a dagger a dagger, n of them, acting on ϕ_0 with a coefficient 1 over square root of-- we might think it's n, but it's actually, you can't tell at this far-- this one is n factorial. That's what you need.

That is the state. And what is the number of this state? What is the number eigenvalue on ϕ

n ? Well, it is 1 over square root of n factorial. The number acting on the a daggers, the n of them, ϕ_0 . You can replace by the commutator, which then is 2 times already. So it's N commutator with a dagger to the little n ϕ_0 times 1 over square root of n .

And how much is this commutator? Over there. This is N times a dagger to the n ϕ_0 . So between these three factors, you're still getting n ϕ to the n . So the number for this state is little n . It is an energy eigenstate. The N eigenvalue is little n . And the energy is $\hbar \omega$. The eigenvalue of N hat, which is little n plus $1/2$. So it is the energy eigenstate of number little n . This is the definition.

And the last thing you may want to check is the normalization. Let me almost check it here. No, I will check it. Let's say I think this is a full derivation. ϕ_n with ϕ_n would be two factors of those, so I would have 1 over n factorial a dagger a dagger, n of them on ϕ_0 , a dagger a dagger, n of them again on ϕ_0 . So then that's equal to 1 over n factorial ϕ_0 a , lots of a 's, n of them, n a daggers, ϕ_0 , like that. That's what it is.

We had to move all the a daggers that were acting on the left input of the integral, or the inner product, all the way to the right. And that's it.

So now comes this step. And I think you can see why it's working. Think of moving the first a all the way here. Well, you can replace the first a with a commutator. But that a with lots of a daggers, with n a daggers, would give you a factor of n , with n a daggers will give you a factor of n times one a dagger less.

So to move the first a , there are n a daggers and you get one factor of n from this a . But for the next a , there's now $n - 1$ a daggers, so this time you get a factor of $n - 1$ when you move it. From the next one, there's going to be $n - 2$ a daggers, so $n - 2$. All of them all the way up to one, cancels this n factorial, and that's equal to 1 .